

AN EXPANSION FORMULA OF BASIC HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES VIA THE $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -INVERSION WITH APPLICATIONS

XINRONG MA

Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, P.R.China

ABSTRACT. With the use of the (f, g) -inversion formula, we establish an expansion of basic hypergeometric ${}_r\phi_s$ series in argument x as a linear combination of ${}_{r+3}\phi_{s+2}$ series in t and its various specifications. These expansions can be regarded as common generalizations of Carlitz's, Liu's, and Chu's expansion in the setting of q -series. As direct applications, some new transformation formulas of q -series including new approach to Askey–Wilson polynomials, the Rogers–Fine identity, Ramanujan's reciprocal theorem and Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula, as well as the well-poised Bailey lemma, are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the core of the classical Lagrange inversion formula (cf. [59, §7.32]) is to express the coefficients a_n in the expansion of

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(\frac{x}{\phi(x)} \right)^n \quad (1.1)$$

by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}} \left[\phi^n(x) \frac{dF(x)}{dx} \right]_{x=0}$$

provided that $F(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are analytic around $x = 0$, $\phi(0) \neq 0$, $\frac{d}{dx}$ denotes the usual derivative operator.

In the past decades, q -analogues (as generalizations) of the Lagrange inversion formula have drawn a lot of attentions (cf. [7, 17, 27, 35, 36, 54, 56]). For a good survey about results and open problems on this topic, we prefer to refer the reader to Stanton's paper [56] and only record here, for comparison purpose, four important results.

The first one is the q -analogue found by Carlitz in 1973 (cf. [18, Eq.(1.11)]), subsequently reproduced by Roman (cf. [49, p. 253, Eq. (8.4)]) viz q -umbral calculus, is

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33D15, Secondary 05A30.

Key words and phrases. $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -inversion formula, transformation, summation, Rogers–Fine identity, Ramanujan, reciprocal theorem, ${}_r\phi_s$ -series, Pfaff–Saalschütz-, Watson-, Jackson-identity, Ismail's argument, WP–Bailey tree, Bailey lemma.

† Email: *xrma@suda.edu.cn*.

that for any formal series $F(x)$, it holds that

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q, x; q)_n} [D_{q,x}^n \{F(x)(x; q)_{n-1}\}]_{x=0} \quad (1.2)$$

where $D_{q,x}$ denotes the usual q -derivative operator given by

$$D_{q,x}\{F(x)\} = \frac{F(x) - F(xq)}{x(1-q)}.$$

Another new path-breaking result is certainly attributed to Gessel and Stanton. With the mind that the essence of the Lagrange inversion formula is equivalent to finding a pair of matrix inversions, they successfully discovered many q -analogues of the Lagrange inversion formula in [27] such as Theorems 3.7 and 3.15 therein. We pause here and recall that a matrix inversion is a pair of infinite lower triangular matrices $F = (B_{n,k})$ and $G = (B_{n,k}^{-1})$ subject to $B_{n,k} = 0$ unless $n \geq k$ and $B_{n,n} \neq 0$, such that

$$\sum_{n \geq i \geq k} B_{n,i}^{-1} B_{i,k} = \sum_{n \geq i \geq k} B_{n,i} B_{i,k}^{-1} = \delta_{n,k}$$

where δ denotes the usual Kronecker delta. Gessel and Stanton's main result may be restated as follows:

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \geq k \geq 0} a_k \frac{(Ap^k q^k; p)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} q^{-nk} x^n \quad (1.3)$$

if and only if

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} q^{\binom{n-k+1}{2} + nk} \frac{(1 - Ap^k q^k)(Aq^n p^{n-1}; p^{-1})_{n-k-1}}{(q; q)_{n-k}} F(q^k). \quad (1.4)$$

The third expansion worth mentioning is the following q -expansion formula discovered in 2002 by Liu [38]. By virtue of the q -derivative operator, together with Carlitz's q -analogue (1.2) and Watson's ${}_6\phi_5$ sum [26, II.20], Liu showed that

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - aq^{2n})(aq/x; q)_n x^n}{(q; q)_n (x; q)_n} [D_{q,x}^n \{F(x)(x; q)_{n-1}\}]_{x=aq}. \quad (1.5)$$

Likewise Liu but in a more systematical way, Chu in his work [20] investigated various functions whose n th q -derivative are in closed form, whereby q -series identities have been obtained. His main result is as follows

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - abq^{2n+\epsilon})(a/x; q)_n x^n}{(q; q)_n} \frac{[D_{q,x}^n \{F(x)(bx; q)_{n+\epsilon}\}]_{x=a}}{(bx; q)_{n+1+\epsilon}} \quad (\epsilon = \pm 1). \quad (1.6)$$

As of today, although these expansion formulas have been proved to be very important to the theory of basic hypergeometric series, just as Gasper pointed out in [24] "... the succeeding higher order derivatives becomes more and more difficult to calculate for $|z| < 1$, and so one is forced to abandon this approach and to search for another way ...". Truly, a comparison of the aforementioned results shows that the expansion (1.3)/(1.4) of Gessel and Stanton via the method of matrix inversions has an advantage over other three expansions in its avoiding calculation of higher order q -derivatives. As such, is it possible to deal with the other three expansions by the same way?

Another motivation comes from our recent observation that in their authoritative book [26, §2.2], Gasper and Rahman recorded a general expansion formula expressing a terminating ${}_r\phi_{r+3}$ series as a finite linear combination of other terminating ${}_r\phi_{r+1}$ series, i.e., Eq.(2.2.4) of loc.cit. As a q -analogue of Bailey's formula [10, 4.3(1)], this finite expansion serves as a hinge connecting a few basic but most useful summation formulas of q -series. Naturally a problem arises whether any ${}_r\phi_s$ series can be expressed conversely, if necessary, as a linear combination of higher ${}_r\phi_{s+n}$ series (integers $m, n \geq 1$)?

To answer these two problems in full generality, ultimately finding out new q -analogues of the Lagrange inversion formula, forms the main theme of the present paper. One of valid tools for this end, in our viewpoint, is the so-called (f, g) -inversion formula initially appeared in [41], together with an important method often referred to in the literature as the ‘‘Ismail's argument’’ [52]. So named because it is Ismail who was the first to show Ramanujan's ${}_1\varphi_1$ summation formula [29] by analytical continuation [1]. Later, Askey and Ismail used the same method and Watson's ${}_6\phi_5$ sum [9] to evaluate Bailey's ${}_6\varphi_6$ summation formula. The reader may consult [33] by Kadell for a more systematic exposition on applications of this method in the theory of q -series. For completeness, we summarize the ‘‘Ismail's argument’’ by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 (Ismail's argument). *Let $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ be arbitrary two analytic functions. If there exists an infinite sequence $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b$, such that for each $n \geq 0$*

$$F(b_n) = G(b_n) \tag{1.7}$$

then $F(x) = G(x)$ for all $x \in U(b)$, a neighborhood of b .

For the same purpose, we restate the (f, g) -inversion formula.

Lemma 1.2 (The (f, g) -inversion formula: [41]). *Let $F = (B_{n,k})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $G = (B_{n,k}^{-1})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two matrices with entries given by*

$$B_{n,k} = \frac{\prod_{i=k}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_k)}{\prod_{i=k+1}^n g(b_i, b_k)} \quad \text{and} \tag{1.8}$$

$$B_{n,k}^{-1} = \frac{f(x_k, b_k) \prod_{i=k+1}^n f(x_i, b_n)}{f(x_n, b_n) \prod_{i=k}^{n-1} g(b_i, b_n)}, \quad \text{respectively,} \tag{1.9}$$

where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers, $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are arbitrary sequences such that none of the denominators in the right hand sides of (1.8) and (1.9) vanish. Then $F = (B_{n,k})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $G = (B_{n,k}^{-1})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a matrix inversion if and only if for all $a, b, c, x \in \mathbb{C}$

$$g(a, b)f(x, c) + g(b, c)f(x, a) + g(c, a)f(x, b) = 0 \tag{1.10}$$

with a prior requirement that $g(x, y) = -g(y, x)$.

As the earlier work of [41] displays, there are numerous functional pairs $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ satisfying (1.10), each pair of which in turn constitutes an (f, g) -inversion

formula useful in study of q -series. The reader is referred to [19, 41, 43] for further details.

In the present paper, we shall focus on the situation that $F(x)$ in (1.2)–(1.5) belongs to a kind of q -series whose summand contains the factor $(c/x; q)_n x^n / (xd; q)_n$. By making use of the $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -inversion formula which avoids difficult issues of the q -derivative operator, we shall set up an infinite expansion of a ${}_r\phi_s$ series in argument xt as a linear combination of terminating ${}_{r+3}\phi_{s+2}$ series in argument t . As we shall see later, it contains not only the classical Rogers–Fine identity, Carlitz’s expansion (1.2), Liu’s expansion (1.5), and Chu’s expansion (1.6) as special cases in the context of q -series, but also draws a general framework for the WP–Bailey lemma [38].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $x, b_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$ be any complex numbers other than of the form q^{-n} and $d \neq aq^n$ for positive integers n . Then for d : $|xd| < 1$, $s \geq r$, and arbitrary r complex numbers a_i , there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1 - xd} {}_{r+1}\tilde{\phi}_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, & \dots, & a_r, & cq/x \\ b_1, & b_2, & \dots, & b_s, & xdq \end{matrix}; q, xt \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - acq^{2n+2}) (xd)^n \frac{(aq/d, cq/x; q)_n}{(cdq, aqx; q)_{n+1}} \\ & \times {}_{r+3}\phi_{s+2} \left[\begin{matrix} cdq, & q^{-n}, & acq^{n+2}, & a_1, & \dots, & a_r \\ & cdq^{n+2}, & q^{-n}d/a, & b_1, & \dots, & b_s \end{matrix}; q, \frac{t}{a} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

The limit of (1.11) as d tends to zero deserves a sperate theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *With the same assumption as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - x) {}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, & \dots, & a_{r-1}, & c/x \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_{s-1}, & b_s \end{matrix}; q, xt \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_{r+1}\phi_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & a_1, & \dots, & a_{r-1}, & cq^n \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_s, & q \end{matrix}; q, tq \right] \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(xq; q)_n} (1 - cq^{2n}) \tau(n) x^n. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

Furthermore, as repeated application of Theorem 1.1, we might discover a multiple series expansion ascending a ${}_{r+m}\tilde{\phi}_{r+m}$ series to a linear combination of ${}_{r+2m}\phi_{r-1+2m}$ series.

Theorem 1.3. *Let b_i, c_i be any complex numbers other than of the form q^{-n} and $d_i \neq q^n$ for positive integers n , $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then for x_i : $|x_i| < 1$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n t^n}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; q)_n} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(c_i q/x_i; q)_n x_i^n}{(x_i d_i q; q)_n} \\ &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega(n_i; x_i, c_i, d_i) \prod_{i=1}^m \Delta_{(n_i; c_i, d_i)} \left\{ {}_r\tilde{\phi}_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r \end{matrix}; q, t \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\Omega(n; x, c, d) = (1 - xd)(1 - cq^{2n+2}) (xd)^n \frac{(q/d, cq/x; q)_n}{(cdq, xq; q)_{n+1}}$$

and the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(n;c,d)} : {}_r\tilde{\phi}_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_s \end{matrix}; q, t \right] \\ \rightarrow {}_{r+3}\phi_{s+2} \left[\begin{matrix} cdq, & q^{-n}, & cq^{n+2}, & a_1, & \dots, & a_r \\ & cdq^{n+2}, & q^{-n}d, & b_1, & \dots, & b_s \end{matrix}; q, t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we shall set up two preliminary results of the (f, g) -inversion formula. With the aid of these results, we shall show Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 in full details. Some important specifications of the first two theorems are presented. In Section 3, we shall apply these expansions and corollaries to seek for transformation and summation formulas of q -series, among include new approaches to the Askey–Wilson polynomials, the Rogers–Fine identity, Ramanujan’s reciprocal theorem and his famous ${}_1\phi_1$ summation formula. Especially noteworthy is that Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are closely related to the WP–Bailey lemma and Bailey chain.

Here, it is necessary to give some remarks on notation. Throughout this paper, we use the standard notation and terminology for basic hypergeometric series (or q -series) found in the book [26] (Gasper and Rahman, 2004). Given a (fixed) complex number q with $|q| < 1$, a complex number a and an integer n , define the q -shifted factorials $(a; q)_\infty$ and $(a; q)_n$ as

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty}.$$

We also employ the following compact multi-parameter notation

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k} (q; q)_k}$$

for the q -binomial coefficients. The basic and bilateral hypergeometric series with the base q and the variable z are defined respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \tau(n)^{1+s-r} \frac{z^n}{(q; q)_n}; \\ {}_r\tilde{\phi}_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \tau(n)^{s-r} z^n; \\ {}_r\psi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_r \end{matrix}; q, z \right] &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(b_1, \dots, b_r; q)_n} z^n \end{aligned}$$

hereafter, for later convenience, we write $\tau(n)$ for $(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}$. In particular, when $s = r - 1$ and the parameters above satisfy the relations

$$qa_1 = b_1 a_2 = \cdots = b_{r-1} a_r$$

we call such a basic hypergeometric series *well-poised* (in short, WP) and further, if $a_2 = q\sqrt{a_1}$, $a_3 = -q\sqrt{a_1}$, *very-well-poised* (VWP). As is customary, we denote

the latter by the compact notation

$${}_rW_{r-1}(a_1; a_4, a_5, \dots, a_r; q, z).$$

2. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS AND COROLLARIES

Let us begin with two preliminary results on the (f, g) -inversion formula.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be arbitrary sequences over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $b_n, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, are pairwise distinct, $g(x, y) = -g(y, x)$, $f(x, y)$ is subject to (1.10). Then the linear system with respect to two sequences $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$*

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n G_k f(x_k, b_k) \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} g(b_i, b_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i, b_n)} \quad (2.1)$$

is equivalent to

$$G_n = \sum_{k=0}^n F_k \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_k)}{\prod_{i=0, i \neq k}^n g(b_i, b_k)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. First, assume that for $n \geq 0$

$$G_n = \sum_{k=0}^n F_k \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_k)}{\prod_{i=0, i \neq k}^n g(b_i, b_k)}$$

which can, evidently, be recast as

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\prod_{i=k}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_k)}{\prod_{i=k+1}^n g(b_i, b_k)} \left\{ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f(x_i, b_k)}{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} g(b_i, b_k)} F_k \right\} = G_n.$$

By Lemma 1.2, we now solve this linear system (in infinite unknown members F_k) for the terms within the curly braces. The solution is as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n G_k f(x_k, b_k) \frac{\prod_{i=k+1}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_n)}{\prod_{i=k}^{n-1} g(b_i, b_n)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_n)}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g(b_i, b_n)} F_n.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g(b_i, b_n) / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_n)$, we therefore obtain

$$F_n = \sum_{k=0}^n G_k f(x_k, b_k) \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} g(b_i, b_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i, b_n)}.$$

And vice versa. ■

Lemma 2.2. *With all conditions as Lemma 2.1. If there exists an expansion of the form*

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n f(x_n, b_n) \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} g(b_k, x)}{\prod_{k=1}^n f(x_k, x)} \quad (2.3)$$

then the coefficients

$$G_n = \sum_{k=0}^n F(b_k) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f(x_i, b_k)}{\prod_{i=0, i \neq k}^n g(b_i, b_k)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. It only needs to set $x = b_n$ in (2.3). Owing to $g(b_n, b_n) = 0$, we have a linear system at once

$$F(b_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n G_k f(x_k, b_k) \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} g(b_i, b_n)}{\prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i, b_n)}. \quad (2.5)$$

According to Lemma 2.1, we immediately obtain (2.4). $\blacksquare$

Remark 1. *It is worth pointing out that (2.3) furnishes a series expansion for arbitrary analytic function $F(x)$, provided that the infinite sum on the right is convergent for $x, b_n, x_n \in U(b)$ such that $b_n \rightarrow b$ and $1 - x x_n \neq 0$. Some remarkable results of such sort, besides the expansion (1.3)/(1.4) above, are the q -Taylor theorems due to Ismail and Stanton [30, 31].*

To make our argument cleaner, we also need the following basic relations in the theory of q -series.

Lemma 2.3 ([26, (I.10)-(I.11)]).

$$\frac{(a; q)_{n-k}}{(b; q)_{n-k}} = \frac{(a; q)_n (q^{1-n}/b; q)_k}{(b; q)_n (q^{1-n}/a; q)_k} \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^k; \quad (2.6)$$

$$\text{In particular, } (a; q)_{n-k} = (-1/a)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - nk} \frac{(a; q)_n}{(q^{1-n}/a; q)_k}; \quad (2.7)$$

$$\tau(n+k) = \tau(n)\tau(k)q^{nk}. \quad (2.8)$$

Now we are ready to show Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

The proof of Theorem 1.1. To derive this expansion, we first consider the analytic function (we assume there are no poles in the denominator)

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(n)^{s-r} (xtq)^n \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, c/x; q)_n}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s, dx; q)_n}.$$

According to Ismail's argument as Lemma 1.1 or [29], $F(x)$ can uniquely be expanded in terms of the base $\{(c/x; q)_n x^n / (ax; q)_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Hence, we may assume that

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k f(x_k, b_k) \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} g(b_i, x)}{\prod_{i=1}^k f(x_i, x)} \quad (x \in U(0)) \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$f(x, y) = 1 - xy, g(x, y) = y - x, x_n = aq^n, b_n = cq^n \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0 \right). \quad (2.10)$$

At this stage, by employing Lemma 2.2, we therefore obtain that $G_0 = 1/(1 - ac)$, and for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} G_n &= \frac{1}{c^n (q; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - nk} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (acq^{k+1}; q)_{n-1} F(cq^k) \\ &= \frac{1}{c^n (q; q)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - nk} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (acq^{k+1}; q)_{n-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, q^{-k}; q)_i}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s, cdq^k; q)_i} \tau(i)^{s-r} (ctq^{k+1})^i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Exchange the order of summation and make the change of indices $K = k - i$ and $N = n - i$. In consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_n &= \frac{q^{-\binom{n}{2}}}{c^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_i}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_i} \frac{(ctq)^i \tau(i)^{1+s-r}}{(cdq^i; q)_i} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{K=0}^N \frac{(-1)^{K+i} q^{\binom{N-K}{2}}}{(q; q)_K (q; q)_{N-K}} \frac{(ac; q)_{n+K+i}}{(ac; q)_{K+i+1}} \frac{(cdq^i; q)_K}{(cdq^{2i}; q)_K} \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau(n)} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_i}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_i} \frac{(-c)^{i-n} (tq)^i \tau(i)^{1+s-r}}{(cdq^i; q)_i (q; q)_N} \frac{(ac; q)_{n+i}}{(ac; q)_{i+1}} S(n, i) \quad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where the inner sum $S(n, i)$ on K is given by

$$S(n, i) = \sum_{K=0}^N (-1)^K q^{\binom{N-K}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ K \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(acq^{n+i}, cdq^i; q)_K}{(acq^{i+1}, cdq^{2i}; q)_K}.$$

Obviously the first member on the right, by virtue of (2.7), equals

$$(-1)^K q^{\binom{N-K}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ K \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{\binom{N}{2}+K} \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_K}{(q; q)_K}$$

simplifying the preceding expression to

$$\begin{aligned}
S(n, i) &= q^{\binom{N}{2}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-N}, & acq^{n+i}, & cdq^i \\ & acq^{i+1}, & cdq^{2i} \end{matrix}; q, q \right] \\
&= q^{\binom{N}{2}} \frac{(q^{-n+1}, aq/d; q)_N}{(acq^{i+1}, q^{-n-i+1}/cd; q)_N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the last equality is based on the famous q -Pfaff-Saalschütz ${}_3\phi_2$ formula [26, (II.10)]. On substituting this expression into (2.12) and then multiplying both sides by $c^n(1 - acq^n)$, we instantly obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c^n(1 - acq^n)G_n &= \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda(n, i) \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, acq^n; q)_i}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s, cdq^i; q)_i} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q^{-n+1}; q)_{n-i}}{(q; q)_{n-i}} \frac{(aq/d; q)_{n-i}}{(q^{-n-i+1}/cd; q)_{n-i}}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for simplicity, we define

$$\lambda(n, i) = \frac{(ctq)^i \tau(i)^{1+s-r}}{\tau(n)} \tau(n-i) = (ct)^i q^{i(i-n)+i} \tau(i)^{s-r}$$

where the last equality is justified by (2.8). On considering $(q^{-n+1}; q)_n = 0$ for $n \geq 1$, we thus make the change of indices $n \rightarrow m+1, i \rightarrow i+1$ on the right-hand summation above. The result is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
c^{m+1}(1 - acq^{m+1})G_{m+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda(m+1, i+1) \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, acq^{m+1}; q)_{i+1}}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_{i+1}} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q^{-m}; q)_{m-i}}{(q; q)_{m-i}} \frac{(aq/d; q)_{m-i}}{(q^{-m-i-1}/cd; q)_{m-i} (cdq^{i+1}; q)_{i+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) again to simplify

$$\begin{aligned} c^{m+1}(1 - acq^{m+1})G_{m+1} &= \frac{(q^{-m}, aq/d; q)_m}{(q; q)_m} \\ &\times \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda(m+1, i+1) \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, acq^{m+1}; q)_{i+1}}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_{i+1}} \\ &\times \frac{(q^{-m}; q)_i}{(q, q^{-m}d/a; q)_i} \frac{(cdq^{i+2}; q)_i q^{-i(i+1)} (ac)^{-i}}{(q^{-m-i-1}/cd; q)_m (cdq^{i+1}; q)_{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(q^{-m}; q)_m}{(q; q)_m} &= \frac{q^{-m}}{\tau(m)} \\ \frac{(cdq^{i+2}; q)_i}{(q^{-m-i-1}/cd; q)_m (cdq^{i+1}; q)_{i+1}} &= \frac{\tau(m)(cd)^m q^{m(i+2)}}{(cdq^{i+1}; q)_{m+1}} \end{aligned}$$

as well as the relation (2.8). With all these relations taken into account, we are finally led to

$$\begin{aligned} c^{m+1}(1 - acq^{m+1})G_{m+1} &= (cd)^m q^m \frac{(aq/d; q)_m}{(cdq; q)_{m+1}} \\ &\times \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{\mu(m, i)}{(q; q)_i} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, acq^{m+1}; q)_{i+1}}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_{i+1}} \frac{(q^{-m}, cdq; q)_i}{(q^{-m}d/a, cdq^{m+2}; q)_i}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(m, i)$ in turn, by recalling the expression of $\lambda(n, i)$, reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(m, i) &= \lambda(m+1, i+1) q^{-i(i+1)+mi} (ac)^{-i} \\ &= (-1)^{s-r} ctq^{1-m} \times \tau(i)^{s-r} (tq^{1+s-r}/a)^i. \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, after canceling $c^{m+1}(1 - acq^{m+1})$ and reformulating in standard notation of q -series

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m+1} &= \frac{tq(-1)^{s-r} d^m}{1 - acq^{m+1}} \frac{(aq/d; q)_m}{(cdq; q)_{m+1}} \\ &\times \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, acq^{m+1}; q)_{i+1}}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_{i+1}} \frac{(q^{-m}, cdq; q)_i}{(q^{-m}d/a, cdq^{m+2}; q)_i} \tau(i)^{s-r} \frac{(tq^{1+s-r}/a)^i}{(q; q)_i} \\ &= \chi(m) {}_{r+3}\phi_{s+2} \left[\begin{matrix} cdq, & q^{-m}, & acq^{m+2}, & a_1q, \dots, a_rq, & tq^{1+s-r} \\ cdq^{m+2}, & q^{-m}d/a, & b_1q, \dots, b_sq, & q, & a \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi(m) = tq \frac{(a_1 - 1) \dots (a_r - 1)}{(b_1 - 1) \dots (b_s - 1)} \frac{(aq/d; q)_m d^m}{(cdq; q)_{m+1}}.$$

Substitute these into (2.9) and then subtract the first terms (corresponding to $k = 0$) from both sides. A lengthy simplification, finally replacing t with tq^{r-s-1} , a_i by a_i/q and b_i by b_i/q , gives the complete proof of the theorem.

Having shown Theorem 1.1, we further take the limit as d tends to zero on both sides of (1.11) and then specialize

$$c \rightarrow c/q, a \rightarrow 1/q.$$

After relabeling the parameters, Theorem 1.2 follows. ■

The following are a few specific cases of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 which will be used later. At first, when c tends to 0, the expansion (1.12) in Theorem 1.2 furnishes a generalization of Carlitz's q -expansion formula for q -series [18]

Corollary 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, & \dots, & a_{r-1}, & 0 \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_{s-1}, & b_s \end{matrix}; q, xt \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)x^n}{(x; q)_{n+1}} {}_{r+1}\phi_{s+1} \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & a_1, & \dots, & a_{r-1}, & 0 \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_s, & q \end{matrix}; q, tq \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Once setting $r = s$ and $a = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, we obtain a previously unknown transformation deserving our particular attention.

Corollary 2.2. *With the same assumption of Theorem 1.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+1}\tilde{\phi}_{r+1} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, & \dots, & a_r, & cq/x \\ b_1, & b_2, & \dots, & b_r, & xdq \end{matrix}; q, xt \right] \\ &= (1 - xd) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/d, cq/x; q)_n}{(cdq, xq; q)_{n+1}} (1 - cq^{2n+2})(xd)^n \\ & \times {}_{r+3}\phi_{r+2} \left[\begin{matrix} cdq, & q^{-n}, & cq^{n+2}, & a_1, & \dots, & a_r \\ cdq^{n+2}, & q^{-n}d, & b_1, & \dots, & b_r \end{matrix}; q, t \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Assuming further $b_r = q$, Corollary 2.2 can be reformulated in the form

Corollary 2.3 (Expansion of the ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ in ${}_{r+3}\phi_{r+2}$ series). *With the same assumption of Theorem 1.1. Then for integers $r \geq 0$ and $|xd| < 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+1}\phi_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, & \dots, & a_r, & cq/x \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_{r-1}, & xdq \end{matrix}; q, xt \right] \\ &= (1 - xd) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/d, cq/x; q)_n}{(cdq, xq; q)_{n+1}} (1 - cq^{2n+2})(xd)^n \\ & \times {}_{r+3}\phi_{r+2} \left[\begin{matrix} cdq, & q^{-n}, & cq^{n+2}, & a_1, & \dots, & a_{r-1}, & a_r \\ cdq^{n+2}, & dq^{-n}, & b_1, & \dots, & b_{r-1}, & q \end{matrix}; q, t \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Remark 2. Recall that in [5], Andrew and Berkvochi extended the idea of the Bailey lemma and Bailey chain to the concept of WP-Bailey tree, by introducing of the WP Bailey pair, i.e., such a pair of sequences $\{\alpha_n(t, b)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\beta_n(t, b)\}_{n \geq 0}$ that

$$\beta_n(t, b) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(bt; q)_{n+k} (b; q)_{n-k}}{(q; q)_{n-k} (tq; q)_{n+k}} \alpha_k(t, b) \quad (2.16)$$

From this definition, Corollary 2.2 is in exact accord with the WP-Bailey lemma [39, Theorem 3.2].

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\{\alpha_n(t, b)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\beta_n(t, b)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a WP-Bailey pair such that*

$$\alpha_n(cdq, q/d) = \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, cdq; q)_n}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r, q; q)_n} \left(\frac{t}{d} \right)^n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - cdq)}{(1 - cq)(1 - xd)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(cq/x, q; q)_n}{(xdq, cdq; q)_n} (xd)^n \alpha_n(cdq, q/d) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(cq/x, q; q)_n}{(xq, cq; q)_{n+1}} (1 - cq^{2n+2}) (xd)^n \beta_n(cdq, q/d). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Of all most interesting cases covered by Corollary 2.3 is that

$$a_r = cdq, a_1 = q\sqrt{cdq}, a_2 = -q\sqrt{cdq}, a_i b_i = cdq^2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

giving rise to a transformation for two VWP series.

Corollary 2.5 (Expansion of VWP ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ series in ${}_{r+3}\phi_{r+2}$ series). *Under the same conditions as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+1}W_r(cdq; a_3, a_4, \dots, a_{r-1}, cq/x; q, xt) \\ &= (1 - xd) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/d, cq/x; q)_n}{(cdq, xq; q)_{n+1}} (1 - cq^{2n+2}) (xd)^n \\ & \times {}_{r+3}W_{r+2}(cdq; q^{-n}, cq^{n+2}, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_{r-1}, cdq; q, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

We close this section by showing Theorem 1.3.

The proof of Theorem 1.3. Observe that ${}_{r+m}\tilde{\phi}_{r+m}$ is analytic in $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We proceed to show this by induction on m . When $m = 1$, it becomes the case $r = s$ and $a = 1$ of Theorem 1.1. Assume further it is true for $m = k$. Consider now the case $m = k + 1$. First, it is clear, by the definition, that

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+m}\tilde{\phi}_{r+m} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r, & c_1q/x_1, \dots, c_mq/x_m; & q, x_1 \dots x_m t \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r, & x_1d_1q, \dots, x_md_mq \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= (1 - x_1d_1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x_2 \dots x_m t)^n \frac{(c_1q/x_1; q)_n x_1^n}{(x_1d_1q; q)_n} \\ & \times \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, c_2q/x_2, \dots, c_mq/x_m; q)_n}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r, x_1d_1q, \dots, x_md_mq; q)_n} \\ &= \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \Omega(n_1; x_1, c_1, d_1) \sum_{n=0}^{n_1} (x_2 \dots x_m t)^n \\ & \times \frac{(c_1d_1q, q^{-n_1}, c_1q^{n_1+2}, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, c_2q/x_2 \dots c_mq/x_m; q)_n}{(q, c_1d_1q^{n_1+2}, d_1q^{-n_1}, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r, x_2d_2q \dots x_md_mq; q)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from an application of Theorem 1.1 with t replaced by $x_2 \dots x_m t$ while ${}_{r+m}\tilde{\phi}_{m+r}$ is taken as an analytic function of x_1 . In view of the

definition of Δ , we thereby obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+m}\tilde{\phi}_{r+m} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r, & c_1q/x_1, \dots, c_mq/x_m; q, x_1 \dots x_m t \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r, & x_1d_1q, \dots, x_md_mq \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \Omega(n_1; x_1, c_1, d_1) \\ & \times \Delta_{(n_1; c_1, d_1)} \left\{ {}_{r+k}\tilde{\phi}_{r+k} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r, & c_2q/x_2, \dots, c_mq/x_m; q, x_2 \dots x_m t \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r, & x_2d_2q, \dots, x_md_mq \end{matrix} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we use the inductive hypothesis to find

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+k}\tilde{\phi}_{r+k} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r, & c_2q/x_2, \dots, c_mq/x_m; q, x_2 \dots x_m t \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r, & x_2d_2q, \dots, x_md_mq \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n_2, \dots, n_m \geq 0} \prod_{i=2}^m \Omega(n_i; x_i, c_i, d_i) \prod_{i=2}^m \Delta_{(n_i; c_i, d_i)} \left\{ {}_r\tilde{\phi}_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r; q, t \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r \end{matrix} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which in turn yields

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{r+m}\tilde{\phi}_{r+m} \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r, & c_1q/x_1, \dots, c_mq/x_m; q, x_1x_2 \dots x_mt \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r, & x_1d_1q \dots, x_md_mq \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega(n_i; x_i, c_i, d_i) \prod_{i=1}^m \Delta_{(n_i; c_i, d_i)} \left\{ {}_r\tilde{\phi}_r \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & \dots, & a_r; q, t \\ b_1, & \dots, & b_r \end{matrix} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, the theorem is proved. $\blacksquare$

3. APPLICATIONS

This whole section is concerned with applications of all theorems and corollaries obtained in the last section, subject to $t = q$ unless otherwise stated, in finding of summation and transformation formulas of q -series.

§3.1. A new GF for the Askey–Wilson polynomials. From Theorem 1.2 it is easy to deduce a new generating function (GF) for the Askey–Wilson polynomials [26].

Corollary 3.1. *Let $y = \cos(\theta)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-x)_3\tilde{\phi}_3 \left[\begin{matrix} ae^{i\theta}, & ae^{-i\theta}, & abcd/(xq) \\ ab, & ac, & ad \end{matrix} ; q, x \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(y; a, b, c, d|q) \frac{(abcd/(xq); q)_n}{(xq, ab, ac, ad; q)_n} (1 - abcdq^{2n-1}) \tau(n) (ax)^n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It suffices to set $r = 4, s = 3$ and specialize

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, a_3) &\rightarrow (q, ae^{i\theta}, ae^{-i\theta}) \\ (b_1, b_2, b_3) &\rightarrow (ab, ac, bc), \quad (t, c) \rightarrow (q, abcd/q) \end{aligned}$$

in (1.12). We see that the ${}_5\phi_4$ series on the right becomes

$${}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & ae^{i\theta}, & ae^{-i\theta}, & abcdq^{n-1} \\ & ab, & ac, & ad \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = \frac{a^n p_n(y; a, b, c, d|q)}{(ab, ac, ad; q)_n}$$

while $p_n(y; a, b, c, d|q)$, $y = \cos(\theta)$, is nothing but the well-known Askey–Wilson polynomial, in view of Eq.(8.4.4) of [26]. Thus we have the claimed. ■

§3.2. Two Rogers–Fine identities. As mentioned earlier, Theorem 1.2 generalizes the famous Rogers–Fine identity which is useful in proving of theta function identities. The interested reader may consult [6, 22, 50] for this topic.

Corollary 3.2 (Rogers–Fine identity: [22, p.15, Eq.(14.1)] or [50]).

$$(1 - x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(aq; q)_n} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/a, c/x; q)_n}{(aq, xq; q)_n} (1 - cq^{2n}) (ax)^n q^{n^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. In fact, it is an immediate consequence of (1.12) under the specializations that $r = 2, s = 1$ and $(a_1, b_1) = (q, aq)$. In such case, we easily see that

$${}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q, & cq^n \\ & aq, & q \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & cq^n \\ & aq \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]$$

while the series on the right can be evaluated by the q -Chu–Vandermonde formula [26, (II.6)]. ■

Again by Theorem 1.2, we readily extent the above Rogers–Fine identity to the following transformation which is in fact the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of Watson’s transformation from the ${}_8\phi_7$ series to ${}_4\phi_3$ series [26, (III.18)].

Corollary 3.3 (Generalized Rogers–Fine identity).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/a, aq/d, c/x; q)_n}{(aq, cd/a, xq; q)_n} \tau(n) (1 - cq^{2n}) (dx)^n \\ &= (1 - x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(d, c/x; q)_n}{(cd/a, aq; q)_n} x^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. At first, we set $r = 3, s = 2$ and specialize

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2) &\rightarrow (q, d) \\ (b_1, b_2) &\rightarrow (aq, cd/a) \end{aligned}$$

in (1.12). Accordingly, it is quite clear that

$${}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q, & d, & cq^n \\ & aq, & cd/a, & q \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & d, & cq^n \\ & aq, & cd/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]$$

while the ${}_3\phi_2$ series on the right can now be evaluated in closed form by the q -Pfaff–Saalschütz formula. This gives the complete proof of (3.3). ■

Indeed, letting d tend to zero on both sides of (3.3), we thereby recover the Rogers–Fine identity (3.2). With Corollary 3.3 in hand, we may further deduce even more identities of interest.

Example 3.1. Replacing d with adq/c in (3.3) gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(adq/c, c/x; q)_n}{(dq, aq; q)_n} x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/a, c/d, c/x; q)_n}{(aq, dq, xq; q)_n} \left(\frac{axdq}{c} \right)^n (1 - cq^{2n}) \tau(n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

It is worth mentioning that the expression on the right-hand side of (3.4) is symmetric in a, d, x , which will be used in what follows.

Example 3.2. The limit as d to 0 of (3.4) turns out to be the Rogers–Fine identity (3.2) while letting d tend to infinity in (3.4) then we obtain a new identity analogous to (3.2):

$$(1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(aq; q)_n} \left(\frac{ax}{c} \right)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/a, c/x; q)_n}{(aq, xq; q)_n} (1 - cq^{2n}) \left(\frac{ax}{c} \right)^n. \quad (3.5)$$

In particular, set $x = 1$. Then (3.5) reduces to a special case of the contiguous relation [26, (III.32)] for the q -Gauss function:

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c, & c/a \\ & aq \end{matrix}; q, \frac{a}{c} \right] = c {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} c, & c/a \\ & aq \end{matrix}; q, \frac{aq^2}{c} \right] \quad (|a/c| < 1). \quad (3.6)$$

Example 3.3. Setting $a = 1$ in (3.4) then we recover the q -Gauss sum

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} dq/c, & c/x \\ & dq \end{matrix}; q, x \right] = \frac{(c, xdq/c; q)_{\infty}}{(dq, x; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (3.7)$$

A quick way to see this goes as follows. In view of (3.3), we see that

$$\frac{1-x}{1-c} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} dq/c, & c/x \\ & dq \end{matrix}; q, x \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c, c/d, c/x; q)_n}{(q, dq, xq; q)_n} \frac{1 - cq^{2n}}{1-c} \left(\frac{xdq}{c} \right)^n \tau(n).$$

Again by Watson's ${}_6\phi_5$ sum [26, (II.20)], the series on the right turns out to be

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} {}_6W_5(c; c/d, c/x, 1/y; q, xydq/c) = \frac{(cq, xdq/c; q)_{\infty}}{(dq, xq; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Summing up, the q -Gauss sum follows.

§3.3. Ramanujan's reciprocal theorem and ${}_1\psi_1$ summation. One of the most important results that we might deduce from Corollary 3.3 is a slightly different version of Andrews' four-parametric reciprocity theorem, the latter generalizing the original Ramanujan's reciprocity theorem. See [13, 44] for more details.

Corollary 3.4. Let $a, d, x, aq/c, dq/c, xq/c$ be any complex numbers other than of the form $-q^{-n}$ for positive integers n , $\max\{|x|, |xq/c|\} < 1$. Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(adq/c, c/x; q)_n}{(d, a; q)_{n+1}} x^n &= \frac{q}{c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(adq/c, q/x; q)_n}{(dq/c, aq/c; q)_{n+1}} \left(\frac{xq}{c} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{(q, c, q/c, adq/c, dxq/c, axq/c; q)_{\infty}}{(d, a, x, dq/c, aq/c, xq/c; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. At first, by Bailey's VWP ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula for bilateral series [26, (II.33)], it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_6\psi_6 \left[\begin{matrix} q\sqrt{c}, & -q\sqrt{c}, & c/a, & c/d, & c/x, & y \\ \sqrt{c}, & -\sqrt{c}, & aq, & dq, & xq, & cq/y \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{adxq}{cy} \right] \\ &= \frac{(q, cq, q/c, adq/c, dxq/c, axq/c, dq/y, aq/y, xq/y; q)_\infty}{(dq, aq, xq, cq/y, dq/c, aq/c, xq/c, q/y, adxq/(cy); q)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Define now, for brevity,

$$H(a, d, x; c) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/a, c/d, c/x; q)_n}{(aq, dq, xq; q)_n} \left(\frac{adxq}{c} \right)^n (1 - cq^{2n}) \tau(n). \quad (3.9)$$

Then from the above ${}_6\psi_6$ summation formula, we readily deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-c} H(a, d, x; c) - \frac{\kappa qc^2}{c-1} H\left(\frac{aq}{c}, \frac{dq}{c}, \frac{xq}{c}; \frac{q^2}{c}\right) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} {}_6\psi_6 \left[\begin{matrix} q\sqrt{c}, & -q\sqrt{c}, & c/d, & c/a, & c/x, & y \\ \sqrt{c}, & -\sqrt{c}, & aq/d, & aq, & xq, & cq/y \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{adxq}{cy} \right] \\ &= \frac{(q, cq, q/c, adq/c, dxq/c, axq/c; q)_\infty}{(dq, aq, xq, dq/c, aq/c, xq/c; q)_\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where $\kappa = (a-1)(d-1)(x-1)/((c-aq)(c-dq)(c-qx))$. Referring to (3.4), it is immediate that

$$H(a, d, x; c) = (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(adq/c, c/x; q)_n}{(dq, aq; q)_n} x^n$$

and thus

$$H\left(\frac{aq}{c}, \frac{dq}{c}, \frac{xq}{c}; \frac{q^2}{c}\right) = (1-xq/c) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(adq/c, q/x; q)_n}{(dq^2/c, aq^2/c; q)_n} (xq/c)^n.$$

Substitute these two expressions into the left-hand side of (3.10). After further simplification, we obtain the desired identity. $\blacksquare$

It is noteworthy that the limit as $d \rightarrow 0$ of (3.8) of Corollary 3.4 leads us to the famous Ramanujan ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula [26, (II.10)].

Corollary 3.5 (Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula). *For $|c| < |x| < 1$*

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(aq; q)_n} x^n = \frac{(q, c, q/c, axq/c; q)_\infty}{(x, aq, aq/c, xq/c; q)_\infty}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. To establish (3.11), we first take $d \rightarrow 0$ on both sides of (3.8), arriving at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(a; q)_{n+1}} x^n &= \frac{q}{c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/x; q)_n}{(aq/c; q)_{n+1}} (xq/c)^n \\ &= \frac{(q, c, q/c, axq/c; q)_\infty}{(a, x, aq/c, xq/c; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Observe that as indicated earlier, (3.5) is symmetric in two variables x and a , resulting in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(a; q)_{n+1}} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/a; q)_n}{(x; q)_{n+1}} a^n.$$

Next, by specializing $(a, x, c) \rightarrow (aq/c, xq/c, q^2/c)$, we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/x; q)_n}{(aq/c; q)_{n+1}} (xq/c)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q/a; q)_n}{(xq/c; q)_{n+1}} (aq/c)^n.$$

Substitute this into (3.12) and multiply both sides by $1 - a$. We therefore obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(aq; q)_n} x^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1/a; q)_n}{(xq/c; q)_n} (aq/c)^n = \frac{(q, c, q/c, axq/c; q)_{\infty}}{(aq, x, aq/c, xq/c; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Thankfully, these two series sums on the left can now be unified into a bilateral series

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(c/x; q)_n}{(a; q)_n} x^n$$

asserting (3.11). ■

Remark 3. It should be noted that in her derivation of Theorem 4.1 in [34], S. Y. Kang has already pointed out that Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula is equivalent to a three-variable generalization of Ramanujan's reciprocity theorem.

§3.4. New q -series identities. Besides the preceding applications which are of theoretical importance, by combining our main theorems with existing summation formulas, we are able to find more specific and new q -series identities. Due to space limitations, we shall only consider some typical cases and state them in the form of corollaries.

Corollary 3.6.

$$\frac{(bx, xq/b; q^2)_{\infty}}{(x/q; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (xq^{-1})^n \frac{(aq^2; q)_n}{(axq; q)_{n+1}} {}_3\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & b, & q/b \\ q^{-n-1}/a, & q, & -q \end{matrix}; q, -\frac{1}{a} \right]; \quad (3.13)$$

$$\frac{(bx, xq/b; q^2)_{\infty}}{(x/q; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (xq^{-1})^n {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & b, & q/b \\ q, & -q \end{matrix}; q, -q^{n+1} \right]; \quad (3.14)$$

Furthermore, for $b \neq q$

$$\frac{(q/b; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-2n}, & bq \\ bq^{1-2n}; q^2, b \end{matrix} \right] = q^{-n} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & b, & q/b \\ q, & -q \end{matrix}; q, -q^{n+1} \right]. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. To obtain (3.13), it suffices to set $r = 2, s = 3$ and $t = -1$ in (1.11) and then make the parametric specialization

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2) &\rightarrow (b, q/b) \\ (b_1, b_2, b_3) &\rightarrow (q, -q, 0) \\ (c, d) &\rightarrow (0, 1/q). \end{aligned}$$

Making use of the q -analogue of Bailey's ${}_2F_1(-1)$ sum (II.10) of [26], we have

$${}_3\tilde{\phi}_4 \left[\begin{matrix} b, & q/b, & 0 \\ 0, q, & -q, & x \end{matrix}; q, -x \right] = {}_2\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} b, & q/b \\ -q, & x \end{matrix}; q, -x \right] = \frac{(bx, xq/b; q^2)_{\infty}}{(x; q)_{\infty}}.$$

reducing (1.11) to (3.13). From this, letting a tend to zero on both sides we obtain (3.14), which in turn, on equating the coefficients of x^n on both sides, yields (3.15). ■

By combining Theorem 1.2 with Andrews' terminating sum [26, (II.17)] we immediately obtain

Corollary 3.7.

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, -a, c^2q/x; q)_n}{(cq, -cq, a^2; q)_n} x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q, c^2q^2/a^2, c^2q/x, c^2q^2/x; q^2)_n}{(c^2q^2, a^2q, xq, xq^2; q^2)_n} (1 - c^2q^{4n+2}) \tau(2n) (ax)^{2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. For this, we only need to set $r = 4$ and $s = 3$ in (1.12) and then make the parametric specialization

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, a_3) &\rightarrow (q, a, -a) \\ (b_1, b_2, b_3) &\rightarrow (cq, -cq, a^2) \\ c &\rightarrow c^2q. \end{aligned}$$

In such case, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_5\phi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q, & a, & -a, & c^2q^{n+1} \\ & cq, & -cq, & a^2, & q \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] \\ &= {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & a, & -a, & c^2q^{n+1} \\ & cq, & -cq, & a^2 \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ \frac{a^{2m}(q, c^2q^2/a^2; q^2)_m}{(c^2q^2, a^2q; q^2)_m}, & \text{if } n = 2m \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

while the last equality is given by Andrews' terminating sum (II.17) of [26]. All these together gives rise to (3.16). ■

Using the q -analogue of Whipple's ${}_3F_2$ sum [26, (II.19)] we yet set up

Corollary 3.8.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, -a, q/x; q)_n}{(-q, e, a^2q/e; q)_n} x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(eq^{-n}, eq^{n+1}, a^2q^{1-n}/e, a^2q^{n+2}/e; q^2)_{\infty}}{(e, a^2q/e; q)_{\infty}} \frac{(q/x; q)_n}{(x; q)_{n+1}} (q^{n^2} - q^{(n+1)^2}) (-x)^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. It suffices to set $r = 4$ and $s = 3$ in (1.12) and specialize

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, a_3) &\rightarrow (q, a, -a) \\ (b_1, b_2, b_3) &\rightarrow (e, a^2q/e, -q) \\ c &\rightarrow q. \end{aligned}$$

In this case

$${}_5\phi_4 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q, & a, & -a, & q^{n+1} \\ & e, & a^2q/e, & -q, & q \end{matrix} ; q, q \right] = {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & a, & -a, & q^{k+1} \\ & e, & a^2q/e, & -q \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]$$

while the ${}_4\phi_3$ series can be evaluated by (II.19) of [26]. The result is:

$${}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & a, & -a, & q^{n+1} \\ & e, & a^2q/e, & -q \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \frac{(eq^{-n}, eq^{n+1}, a^2q^{1-n}/e, a^2q^{n+2}/e; q^2)_\infty q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{(e, a^2q/e; q)_\infty}.$$

A direct substitution of this into (1.12) yields (3.7). $\blacksquare$

Continuing along this way, we readily deduce a few concrete identities from Corollary 2.2 via the use of other well-known summation formulas.

Corollary 3.9.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(cq/x; q)_n}{(cxq^2; q)_{n+1}} (xq)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - cq^{2n+2})(cxq^2)^n \frac{(q^{n+1}, cq^2, cq/x; q)_n}{(c^2q^3; q)_{2n+1}(xq; q)_{n+1}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. It suffices to set $r = 0$ and $d = cq^2$ in (2.14) of Corollary 2.2. Then the q -Pfaff-Saalschütz ${}_3\phi_2$ summation formula [26, (II.22)] is applicable, which gives

$${}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & c^2q^3, & cq^{n+2} \\ & c^2q^{n+4}, & cq^{-n+2} \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \frac{(q^{n+1}, cq^2; q)_n}{(c^2q^{n+4}, 1/cq; q)_n}.$$

Thus we get the desired transformation. $\blacksquare$

It is of interest that the limit as $x \rightarrow 0$ offers a new identity concerning the partial theta function.

Corollary 3.10.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(n)(cq^2)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tau(n)(1 - cq^{2n+2})(c^2q^3)^n \frac{(q^{n+1}, cq^2; q)_n}{(c^2q^3; q)_{2n+1}}. \quad (3.20)$$

In much the same way, it is not hard to recover a transformation for ${}_8\phi_7$ series [26, (III.23)].

Example 3.4. Let $a_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$, c and d be arbitrary complex numbers such that $a_1a_2a_3 = cd^2q$ ($cd \neq 0$). Then for $x : \max\{|xq|, |xd|\} < 1$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7(cdq; a_1, a_2, a_3, q, cq/x; q, xq) \\ &= \frac{(1 - xd)(1 - cq^2)}{(1 - cdq)(1 - xq)} {}_8W_7(cq^2; a_1q/d, a_2q/d, a_3q/d, q, cq/x; q, xd). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. In (2.14) of Corollary 2.2, set $r = 5$ and

$$a_1b_1 = a_2b_2 = a_3b_3 = a_4b_4 = a_5b_5 = cdq^2$$

with the specialization

$$a_4 = \sqrt{cdq}, a_5 = -\sqrt{cdq}, a_1a_2a_3 = cd^2q.$$

Under these conditions, Jackson's ${}_8\phi_7$ sum [26, (II.22)] for VWP series is applicable. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7(cdq; q^{-n}, cq^{n+2}, a_1, a_2, a_3; q, q) \\ &= \frac{(cdq^2, cdq^2/a_1a_2, cdq^2/a_1a_3, cdq^2/a_2a_3; q)_n}{(cdq^2/a_1, cdq^2/a_2, cdq^2/a_3, cdq^2/(a_1a_2a_3); q)_n} = \frac{(cdq^2, a_1q/d, a_2q/d, a_3q/d; q)_n}{(q/d, b_1, b_2, b_3; q)_n} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute this result into (2.14) and reformulate the resulted in terms of standard notation of q -series. This gives the complete proof of the corollary. $\blacksquare$

Lastly, by combining Corollary 2.2 with another ${}_8\phi_7$ sum [26, (II.16)], we also obtain a different transformation for ${}_8\phi_7$ series. To the best of our knowledge, this does not fall under the purview of the famous Bailey's ${}_{10}\phi_9$ transformation [26, Eq.(2.9.1)] and its limit case ${}_8\phi_7$ transformation [26, Eq.(2.10.1)]. Also it seems not to have been known in the literature.

Corollary 3.11.

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7(cq^2; q, q^2/d^2, cq^2/x, cq/x, q^2; q^2, x^2d^2) \\ &= \frac{1 - xq}{(1 - xd)(1 - cq^2)} {}_8W_7(cdq; q, d\sqrt{cq}, -d\sqrt{cq}, q/d, cq/x; q, -xd). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. In (2.14) of Corollary 2.2, set $r = 5$ and make the specialization

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, a_3) &\rightarrow (w, -w, cdq^2/w^2) \\ (t, w) &\rightarrow (-d, -d\sqrt{cq}) \\ (a_4, a_5) &\rightarrow (q\sqrt{cdq}, -q\sqrt{cdq}) \\ a_1b_1 = a_2b_2 &= a_3b_3 = cdq^2 \end{aligned}$$

With these choices, we are able to apply Gasper–Rahman's ${}_8\phi_7$ sum (II.16) of [26] to evaluate the sum on the right. Consequently we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8W_7(cdq; q^{-n}, cq^{n+2}, w, -w, cdq^2/w^2; q, -d) \\ &= \frac{(cdq^2, w^2/cdq; q)_\infty (q^{-n+1}, cq^{n+3}, w^2q^{n+1}, w^2q^{-n-1}/c; q^2)_\infty}{(cdq^{n+2}, dq^{-n}; q)_\infty (q, cq^3, w^2q, w^2q^{-1}/c; q^2)_\infty} \\ &\stackrel{n=2m}{=} \frac{(cdq^2; q)_{2m}}{(dq^{-2m}; q)_{2m}} \frac{(q^{-2m+1}, d^2q^{-2m}; q^2)_m}{(cd^2q^2, cq^3; q^2)_m} = \frac{(cdq^2; q)_{2m}}{(q/d; q)_{2m}} \frac{(q, q^2/d^2; q^2)_m}{(cd^2q^2, cq^3; q^2)_m} \end{aligned}$$

and 0 otherwise, since that $(q^{-n+1}; q^2)_\infty = 0$ when n is odd. Substitute this result into (2.14) and reformulate the resulted in terms of standard notation of q -series. This gives the complete proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

As illustrations of Theorem 1.3, we now consider the multiple series expansions of Gauss's ${}_2\phi_1$ and Watson's ${}_6\phi_5$ sums.

Corollary 3.12.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(ax, ay; q)_\infty}{(a, axy; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} x^{n_1} y^{n_2} (1 - q^{2n_1})(1 - q^{2n_2}) \tau(n_1) \tau(n_2) \\ & \times \frac{(1/x; q)_{n_1} (1/y; q)_{n_2}}{(xq; q)_{n_1+1} (yq; q)_{n_2+1}} {}_4\phi_3 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n_1}, & q^{n_1}, & q^{-n_2}, & q^{n_2} \\ & q, & q, & a \end{matrix}; q, aq^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

for $x, y \neq 1$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(aq, aqxy, aqxz, aqyz; q)_\infty}{(ax, ay, az, aqxyz; q)_\infty} = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0} (ax)^{n_1} (ay)^{n_2} (az)^{n_3} \prod_{i=1}^3 (1 - q^{2n_i+1}) \\ & \times \frac{(q/a, 1/x; q)_{n_1}}{(a, xq; q)_{n_1+1}} \frac{(q/a, 1/y; q)_{n_2}}{(a, yq; q)_{n_2+1}} \frac{(q/a, 1/z; q)_{n_3}}{(a, zq; q)_{n_3+1}} \\ & \times {}_{12}W_{11}(a; a, a, a, q^{-n_1}, q^{-n_2}, q^{-n_3}, q^{n_1+1}, q^{n_2+1}, q^{n_3+1}; q, aq). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. Both are immediate consequences of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 when they apply respectively to Gauss's ${}_3\phi_2$ and Watson's ${}_6\phi_5$ sum, which saying

$$\frac{(ax, ay; q)_\infty}{(a, aaxy; q)_\infty} = {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} 1/x, & 1/y \\ & a \end{matrix}; q, aaxy \right]$$

and

$$\frac{(aq, aqxy, aqxz, aqyz; q)_\infty}{(aqx, aqy, aqz, aqxyz; q)_\infty} = {}_6W_5(a; 1/x, 1/y, 1/z; q, aqxyz).$$

■

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the present paper, we have only used the $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -inversion formula with the parametric specializations (2.10) to establish transformation of q -series, since the convergency of q -series (thus $F(x)$ is analytic) under this case is out of question. Nevertheless, as indicated by Remark 1, such idea is applicable to arbitrary analytic functions with proper specialization of parametric. For instance, consider

$$F(x) = \frac{(x; q)_\infty}{(ax; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & b, & c/x \\ & d, & abc/d \end{matrix}; q, x \right] \quad (4.1)$$

with the choices that $x_n = aq^{n-1}$ and $b_n = cq^n$, the $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -inversion will lead us to the limitation of Jackson's terminating ${}_8\phi_7$ to ${}_4\phi_3$ series [26, (III.10)]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(x, ac; q)_\infty}{(ax, c; q)_\infty} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & b, & c/x \\ & d, & abc/d \end{matrix}; q, x \right] \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} {}_8W_7(ac/q; a, d/b, ac/d, c/x, b/y; q, xy). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

So it is reasonable to believe that, under other specialization of parametric just as in (1.3)/(1.4), $x_n = ap^n, b_n = cq^n, p \neq q$, the $(1 - xy, y - x)$ -inversion will lead to more transformation formulas, let along other (f, g) -inversion formulas such as Warnaar's matrix inversion [57, Lemma 3.2] for elliptic hypergeometric series.

Another long-standing problem puzzled the author is whether there exists certain general (not merely for special conditions) summation formula for the VWP ${}_{r+1}\phi_r$ series when $r > 8$? Our query is based on a phenomenon that in the world of q -series, we too often meet and utilize various summation formulas like the ${}_1\phi_0$ (q -binomial theorem), ${}_2\phi_1$ (Chu–Vandermonde, Gauss), ${}_3\phi_2$ (Pfaff–Saalschütz), ${}_4\phi_3$ (Andrews), ${}_6\phi_5$ (Watson), and ${}_8\phi_7$ (Jackson). In this sense, Jackson's ${}_8\phi_7$ sum is certainly one of the most general (highest *level* so far) formula in closed form. As a first step to this direction, all expansions obtained in our foregoing discussion remind us that if it did exist, then we should have the corresponding one for the ${}_r\phi_{r-1}$ series. All these problems deserve further investigation.

REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors, Complex Analysis, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1978.

2. K. Alladi and A. Berkovich, *New polynomial analogues of Jacobi's triple product and Lebesgue's identities*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **32** (2004), 801–824.
3. G. E. Andrews, *q -Identities of Auluck, Carlitz, and Rogers*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 575–581.
4. ———, *Ramanujan's lost notebook. I. Partial theta functions*, Adv. Math. **41**(1981), 137–172.
5. ——— and A. Berkovich, *The WP Bailey tree and its implications* J. London Math. Soc. **66**(2002), 529–549.
6. ——— and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook, Part II*, Springer-Verlag, 2009.
7. ———, *Identities in Combinatorics. II: a Q -analogue of the Lagrange inversion theorem*, Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. **53**(1975), 240–245.
8. ———, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. **71**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
9. R. Askey and M. E. H. Ismail, *A very-well-poised ${}_6\varphi_6$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **77**, 218–222.
10. W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, reprinted by Stechert–Hafner, New York, 1964.
11. G. Bhatnagar, *Generalized bibasic series, and their $U(n)$ extensions*, Advances in Math. **131** (1997), 188–252.
12. A. Berkovich, *On the difference of partial theta functions*, arXiv:0712.4087.
13. B. C. Berndt, S. H. Chan, B. P. Yeap, and A. J. Yee, *A reciprocity theorem for certain q -series found in Ramanujan's lost notebook*, Ramanujan J. **13** (2007), 27–37.
14. D. M. Bressoud, *Some identities for terminating q -series*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **89** (1981), 211–223.
15. ———, *A matrix inverse*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 446–448.
16. L. Carlitz, *Some q -identities related to the theta functions*, Bullettino della Unione Matematica Italiana **17**(3) (1962), 172–178.
17. ———, *Some inverse relations*, Duke Math. J. **40** (1973), 893–901.
18. ———, *Some q -expansion theorems*, Glas. Math. Ser. III **8**(28) (1973), 205–214.
19. W. C. Chu, *Basic hypergeometric identities: an introductory revisiting through the Carlitz inversions*, Forum Math. **7** (1995), 117–129.
20. ———, *q -derivative operators and basic hypergeometric series*, Results in Mathematics **49**(2006), 25–44.
21. J. L. Fields and J. Wimp, *Expansions of hypergeometric functions in hypergeometric functions*, Math. Comp. **15**(76)(1961), 390–395.
22. N. J. Fine, *Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications*, in Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. **27**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1988.
23. G. Gasper, *Summation, transformation, and expansion formulas for bibasic series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **312** (1989), 257–278.
24. ———, *Elementary derivations of summation and transformation formulas for q -series*, in Special Functions, q -Series and Related Topics (M. E. H. Ismail, D. R. Masson and M. Rahman, eds), Amer. Math. Soc., R. I. Providence, Fields Institute Communications **14** (1997), 55–70.
25. ——— and M. Rahman, *An indefinite bibasic summation formula and some quadratic, cubic and quartic summation and transformation formulas*, Canad. J. Math. **42** (1990), 1–27.
26. ——— and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
27. I. Gessel and D. Stanton, *Application of q -Lagrange inversion to basic hypergeometric series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 173–203.
28. H. W. Gould and L. C. Hsu, *Some new inverse series relations*, Duke Math. J. **40** (1973), 885–891.
29. M. E. H. Ismail, *A simple proof of Ramanujan's ${}_1\varphi_1$ sum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1977), 185–186.
30. ——— and D. Stanton, *Applications of q -Taylor theorems*, J. Comp. Appl. Math. **153** (2003), 259–272.
31. ——— and D. Stanton, *q -Taylor theorems, polynomial expansions, and interpolation of entire functions*, J. Approx. Theory **123** (2003), 125–146.
32. F. H. Jackson, *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Trans. Roy Soc. Edin. **46** (1908), 253–281.

33. K. W. J. Kadell, *The little q -Jacobi functions of complex order*, In: M. E. H. Ismail, E. Koelink (eds.) *Theory and Applications of Special Functions*, Developments in Mathematics, Vol. 13, Springer, New York (2005), 301–338.
34. Soon-Yi Kang, *Generalizations of Ramanujan's reciprocity theorem and their applications*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **75** (2007), 18–34.
35. C. Krattenthaler, *A new q -Lagrange formula and some applications*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **90** (1984), 338–344.
36. ———, *Operator methods and Lagrange inversion, a unified approach to Lagrange formulas*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **305** (1988), 431–465.
37. ———, *A new matrix inverse*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 47–59.
38. Z. G. Liu, *An expansion formula for q -series and applications*, *Ramanujan J.*, **6**(2002), 429–447.
39. Q. Q. Liu, X. R. Ma, *On a characteristic equation of well-poised Bailey chains*, *Ramanujan J.* **18**(2009), 351–370.
40. B. López, J. M. Marco and J. Parcet, *Taylor series for the Askey-Wilson operator and classical summation formulas*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 2259–2270.
41. X. R. Ma, *An extension of Warnaar's matrix inversion*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **133** (2005), 3179–3189.
42. ———, *Two finite forms of Watson's quintuple product identity and matrix inversion*, *Electron. J. Comb.* **13**(1) (2006) #R52, 8pp.
43. ———, *The (f, g) -inversion formula and its applications: the (f, g) -summation formula*, *Advances in Appl. Math.*, **38** (2007), 227–257.
44. ———, *Six-variable generalization of Ramanujan's reciprocity theorem and its variants*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **353** (2009), 320–328.
45. S. C. Milne and G. Bhatnagar, *A characterization of inverse relations*, *Discrete Math.* **193** (1998), 235–245.
46. M. Rahman, *Some quadratic and cubic summation formulas for basic hypergeometric series*, *Canad. J. Math.* **45** (1993), 394–411.
47. ———, *Some cubic summation formulas for basic hypergeometric series*, *Utilitas Math.* **36** (1989), 161–172.
48. S. Ramanujan, *The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers*, Narosa, New Delhi, 1988.
49. S. M. Roman, *More on the umbral calculus, with emphasis on the q -umbral calculus*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **107** (1985), 222–254.
50. L. J. Rogers, *On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **16** (1917), 315–336.
51. A. Schilling and S. O. Warnaar, *Conjugate Bailey pairs, from configuration sums and fractional-level string functions to Bailey's lemma*, *Contemp. Math.* **297** (2002), 227–255.
52. M. Schlosser, *A simple proof of Bailey's very-well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$ summation*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130** (2002), 1113–1123.
53. ———, *Inversion of bilateral basic hypergeometric series*, *Electron. J. Comb.* **10** (2003) #R10, 27pp.
54. D. Singer, *q -analogues of Lagrange inversion*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of California, San Diego, CA, 1992.
55. V. P. Spiridonov, *Theta hypergeometric series*, in V. A. Malyshev and A. M. Vershik (eds.), *Asymptotic Combinatorics with Applications to Mathematical Physics*, 307–327, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.
56. D. Stanton, *Recent results for the q -Lagrange inversion formula*, *Ramanujan Revisited*, ed. by Askey, Berndt, Ramanathan, Rankin, Academic Press, 1988, 525–536.
57. S. O. Warnaar, *Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series*, *Constr. Approx.* **18** (2002), 479–502.
58. ———, *Partial theta functions. I. Beyond the lost notebook*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **87** (2003), 363–395.
59. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Reprint of the fourth (1927) edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.